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A healthy, multiparous 23-year-old woman requests advice about contraception. Her last child was conceived while she was using oral contraceptives, which she took irregularly. She wants no more children and desires a highly effective and long-acting method of contraception. She is sexually active in a monogamous relationship and had been treated for gonococcal cervicitis at the age of 16 years. She has normal menses. Findings on pelvic examination are normal. What contraceptive methods are appropriate for her?

Modern contraceptives have enabled countless women and couples to plan their pregnancies; nevertheless, approximately 80 million unintended pregnancies occur each year worldwide. In the United States, nearly half (48 percent) of women aged 15 to 44 are estimated to have had at least one unplanned pregnancy. Although many of these unplanned pregnancies occur among women not using contraception, slightly more than half (53 percent in 1994) occur while women are using contraceptives. Many of these pregnancies result from incorrect or inconsistent use of contraception, but some are true failures of the contraceptive method.

Contraceptive methods vary in their effectiveness and in the determinants of effective use. For example, oral contraceptives are highly effective when taken properly but are less effective as used typically. Injectable methods of contraception offer an alternative to daily pill taking but require reinjection at regular intervals. Other highly effective methods that do not require frequent effort are available, and these long-acting methods are the focus of this review. Two of these methods — intrauterine devices (IUDs) and progestin implants — can be used by women regardless of whether they are spacing their pregnancies or have completed childbearing. Two other methods — tubal sterilization for women and vasectomy for men — are intended only for those who are certain that they wish to prevent pregnancy permanently.

IUDs

Worldwide, IUDs are the most popular nonpermanent method of contraception, used by nearly 160 million women. However, only 2 percent of women using contraception in the United States in 2002 used these devices. Two IUDs are currently available in the United States: the copper T380A (ParaGard, FEI Women’s Health), which has a copper surface area of approximately 380 mm², and the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (Mirena, Berlex Laboratories), which releases levonorgestrel at a rate of approximately 20 µg per day.

IUDs are highly effective in preventing pregnancy (Table 1). A recent analysis found
Table 1. Long-Acting Reversible Methods of Contraception.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contraceptive Method</th>
<th>Pregancies within First Year of Typical Use†</th>
<th>Effective Duration of Use</th>
<th>Changes in Menstrual Characteristics</th>
<th>Conditions Associated with WHO Category 3 for Initiation‡</th>
<th>Conditions Associated with WHO Category 4 for Initiation§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper IUD</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>10yr</td>
<td>Frequently increased menstrual bleeding and intermenstrual spotting in first 3 to 6 mo of use, usually decreasing over time; menstrual pain and heavy bleeding more common than with the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system; cumulative discontinuation rates for these reasons: 3.6%, 6.1%, and 7.5% at 1, 2, and 3 yr, respectively</td>
<td>Post partum, &gt;48 hr to &lt;4 wk; benign gestational trophoblastic disease; ovarian cancer; increased risk of STIs; AIDS **</td>
<td>Pregnancy; puerperal sepsis; immediate postseptic abortion; unexplained vaginal bleeding suspicious for a serious condition; malignant gestational trophoblastic disease; cervical cancer; endometrial cancer; uterine fibroids, with distortion; anatomic abnormalities that distort the uterine cavity; current PID; current purulent cervicitis or chlamydial infection or gonorrhea; known pelvic tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levonorgestrel-</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>5yr††</td>
<td>Frequently increased menstrual bleeding and spotting in first 3 mo, which usually decreases over time; can have therapeutic effect among women with heavy bleeding; amenorrhea in 20 to 50% of cases at 12 mo after insertion</td>
<td>Same as for copper IUDs with the addition of post partum, &lt;48 hr; current deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism; history of breast cancer; active viral hepatitis; severe cirrhosis; liver tumors</td>
<td>Same as for copper IUDs, with the addition of current breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>releasing intrauterine system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implants Norplant and Jadelle, 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For Norplant and Jadelle: irregular and prolonged menstrual bleeding and intermenstrual spotting that is most pronounced in first year and tends to decrease thereafter; for Implanon: during first 3 mo, amenorrhea in 30 to 40% of users; prolonged bleeding in 30%; amenorrhea persistent over time, but decreasing rate of prolonged bleeding to 10 to 20%</td>
<td>Breast-feeding and &lt;6 wk post partum; current deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism; unexplained vaginal bleeding suspicious for a serious condition; history of breast cancer; active viral hepatitis; severe cirrhosis; liver tumors; certain drugs that affect liver enzymes</td>
<td>Current breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norplant and Jadelle, 5‡‡, Implanon, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* WHO denotes World Health Organization, PID pelvic inflammatory disease, STI sexually transmitted infection, and AIDS acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.
† Data are from Trussell.‡
‡ WHO category 3 consists of conditions in which the theoretical or proven risks usually outweigh the advantages of using the method.
§ WHO category 4 consists of conditions that represent an unacceptable health risk if the contraceptive method is used.
¶ This device is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for 10 years of use. Data suggest that the device may be effective for up to 12 years of use.
± This category applies to women who are at very high individual risk for exposure to cervical gonococcal or chlamydial infection.
** If AIDS develops in a woman while she is using an IUD, she can generally continue using the method. If the woman is clinically well on antiretroviral therapy, she can generally have an IUD inserted.
†† This device is approved by the FDA for five years of use. Data suggest that the device may be effective for up to seven years of use.
‡‡ In 2004, the WHO concluded that the duration of effectiveness of Norplant was dependent on body weight and that it remained effective for seven years in women weighing less than 70 kg. Women weighing 70 to 79 kg at the time of insertion or during follow-up should be counseled regarding the decreased effectiveness of Norplant after five years. Women weighing 80 kg or more should seriously consider having the implants removed after four years of use. In contrast, Jadelle is effective for a maximum of five years depending on body weight. Women weighing 80 kg or more should seriously consider having the implants removed after four years of use.
§§ During the first year, about 25 percent of Norplant users have regular cycles, about 67 percent have irregular cycles, and about 7 percent are amenorrheic. By five years, two thirds of women have regular bleeding and one third have irregular bleeding. Bleeding is not expected to be of sufficient severity to lower hemoglobin levels.
¶¶ A history of deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism is a WHO category 2, which means that the advantages of the use of contraceptive implants among women with this condition generally outweigh the theoretical or proven risks.
that the copper T380A and the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system were the most cost-effective, nonpermanent methods of contraception for five years of use from the perspective of third-party payers. Multiple mechanisms of action probably contribute to the effectiveness of IUDs; the precise contribution of each mechanism is unclear, and the extent to which prevention of implantation plays a role is controversial. There is evidence, however, that the copper T380A works primarily by prevention of fertilization through mechanisms that include adverse effects on sperm.

The likelihood of expulsion of an IUD can be reduced with proper insertion technique. Reported rates of expulsion vary widely. In a large, multicountry trial with seven years of follow-up, cumulative discontinuation rates for expulsion with the copper T380A and the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system were 1.8 and 2.9, respectively, per 100 years of use. Expulsion is believed to be more common among young and nulliparous women, although this is uncertain.

In the uncommon event of pregnancy while using an IUD, the risk of complications — including ectopic gestation, spontaneous abortion, and preterm delivery — is increased. The absolute risk of ectopic pregnancy, however, is low (approximately 1 per 1000 person-years) and is less than half the risk for women using no contraception. If the pregnancy is intrauterine, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommends that the device be removed, if removal can be accomplished without an invasive procedure. Whether in utero exposure to levonorgestrel increases the risk of fetal abnormalities is unknown.

The main side effects of IUDs are menstrual abnormalities. Heavy bleeding, intermenstrual spotting, and menstual pain are common among the users of copper IUDs; amenorrhea is more common among users of the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (Table 1). In a report from Finland, women who were provided information about the possibility of amenorrhea were significantly more likely to be satisfied with the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system than were women who had not received this information.

The popularity of IUDs declined markedly in the United States during the 1980s, after reports of serious pelvic infections with use of the Dalkon Shield, which was marketed in the early 1970s. Studies in the late 1980s and 1990s, however, provided strong and reassuring evidence that women at low risk for sexually transmitted infections were at low risk for pelvic infections with the use of IUDs. Combined data from 13 World Health Organization (WHO) studies that excluded women with sexually transmitted infections during the previous six months and those with previous pelvic inflammatory disease and involved more than 50,000 person-years of follow-up, found that the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease was 1.6 cases per 1000 person-years; the risk was concentrated in the first 20 days after insertion. Randomized trials of women at low risk for sexually transmitted infections have found no benefit of antibiotic prophylaxis in reducing this insertion-associated risk; rates of pelvic infections were comparably low with prophylaxis (0.1 to 1.7 percent) and without prophylaxis (0.1 to 2.5 percent).

IUDs appear to cause little, if any, increase in the risk of infertility among women who are at low risk for sexually transmitted infections. At least three case-control studies have found no association between the use of IUDs and infertility among nulligravid women who had previously used a copper IUD.

The risk of uterine perforation is low when proper insertion techniques are used. A recent large cohort study in New Zealand found a rate of 1.6 perforations per 1000 insertions performed by nearly 1700 physicians.

**Progestin Implants**

Several subdermal implants provide highly effective, long-acting contraception. These implants consist of polymer capsules or rods that deliver a progestin. Four progestins have been used in implants to date: levonorgestrel, etonogestrel, Nestorone, and nomegestrol acetate. None of these implants are currently marketed in the United States, although two levonorgestrel implants — a set of six silicone elastomer capsules (Norplant) and a set of two silicone elastomer rods (Jadelle) — have been approved by the FDA. Implanon consists of a single rod that contains the progestin etonogestrel; it has been approved for three years of use in the European Union, Canada, and Indonesia and is currently under review by the FDA.

Progestin implants are highly effective (Table 1), with recent multinational studies reporting five-year cumulative pregnancy rates of 1.5 percent or less for Norplant and Jadelle. Although long-term studies are lacking, Implanon appears to be at least as effective as Norplant and Jadelle.
mechanisms of action for progestin-only implants have been reviewed in detail elsewhere. Although all progestin-only implants have at least some effect on ovarian function, Implanon was specifically designed to prevent ovulation and is highly effective in doing so. A review of 55 observational studies found no increase in the rates of adverse outcomes, including pelvic inflammatory disease, loss of bone mineral density, anemia, thrombocytopenia, and death in women who used implants, as compared with nonusers. Although data were insufficient to draw conclusions regarding cardiovascular events (stroke, myocardial infarction, thromboembolism) and cancers, absolute rates for these outcomes were very low (less than 1 per 10,000 person-years).

The main side effect of progestin-only implants, as with other progestin-only contraceptives, is menstrual abnormalities. Rates and types of menstrual abnormalities vary by implant and the time after insertion (Table 1).

**TUBAL STERILIZATION**

Approximately 180 million women worldwide have undergone tubal sterilization. In 2002 in the United States, 28 percent of women between the ages of 30 and 34 years who used contraception had undergone the procedure. Approximately half of tubal sterilizations in the United States are performed post partum with the use of partial salpingectomy, either by minilaparotomy after vaginal delivery or concurrent cesarean delivery. Most other procedures are performed by laparoscopy at a time unrelated to delivery with the use of either coagulation or application of clips or bands. In 2002, the FDA approved a new tubal occlusion device (Essure, Conceptus) that is placed with use of hysteroscopy.

Tubal sterilization is highly effective. The cumulative probability of pregnancy at 10 years after the procedure ranges from 1.8 to 54.3 per 1000 procedures and varies with factors including age and method of occlusion (although data are insufficient to identify any one method as clearly superior). When pregnancies occur after tubal sterilization, a high proportion are ectopic (15 to 65 percent, depending on the procedure), although the absolute risk of ectopic pregnancy is very low after this procedure. An analysis of data from the U.S. Collaborative Review of Sterilization, which included 10,685 women who had undergone sterilization at medical centers in nine U.S. cities, found that the 10-year cumulative probability of ectopic pregnancy for all methods of tubal sterilization examined was 7.3 per 1000 procedures (range, 1.5 to 17.1 per 1000, depending on the method). Overall, the proportion of pregnancies that were ectopic was three times as high in years 4 to 10 after sterilization (61 percent) as it was in years 1 to 3 (20 percent).

Deaths associated with tubal sterilization in the United States are rare (approximately 1 to 2 per 100,000 procedures), and serious morbidity is uncommon. According to the U.S. Collaborative Review of Sterilization, unintended major surgery occurred in 0.9 percent of 9475 laparoscopic sterilizations, and rehospitalization occurred in 0.6 percent; one life-threatening event and no deaths occurred. Risk factors for complications included diabetes, general anesthesia, previous abdominal or pelvic surgery, and obesity.

Although some previous reports described a post-sterilization syndrome involving menstrual abnormalities, more recent data indicate that a syndrome of menstrual abnormalities is no more likely to develop in women undergoing sterilization than in women whose husbands underwent vasectomy. Although indications for hysterectomy should be the same for women who have undergone sterilization as for women who have not, women who had undergone sterilization were more likely to undergo subsequent hysterectomy, according to the U.S. Collaborative Review of Sterilization; biologic factors are unlikely to explain this finding. Most women report no change in sexual interest or pleasure after tubal sterilization; of those who report a change, most report an increase. Sterilization is intended to be permanent and should be performed only in people who are fully informed and choose to prevent pregnancy permanently. Surgical procedures to reverse tubal sterilization and vasectomy — along with the predominant alternative, in vitro fertilization — are expensive and often unsuccessful. The likelihood of pregnancy after surgical reversal of either tubal sterilization or vasectomy depends on several factors, including the method of tubal or vas occlusion and the age of the woman. Although most people remain satisfied with their decision to undergo sterilization, feelings of regret after the procedure are not rare. Women who are sterilized at a young age are at greater risk for later regret, regardless of the number of children they have at the time of sterilization. According to the U.S. Collaborative Review of Sterilization, the 14-year cumulative probability of requesting information about reversal was 14.3 percent, but the
probability rose to 40.4 percent among women who were between the ages of 18 and 24 years at the time of sterilization.\textsuperscript{39} The cumulative probability of expressing regret within five years was similar for women who underwent tubal sterilization (7.0 percent) and for women whose husbands underwent vasectomy (6.1 percent).\textsuperscript{40}

**VASECTOMY**

Worldwide, almost 43 million men have undergone sterilization. In 2002, 9 percent of U.S. women of reproductive age who were using contraception relied on vasectomy.\textsuperscript{3} Vasectomy is generally performed on an outpatient basis under local anesthesia, either by the no-scalpel technique (performed through a small puncture) or by incision, and with the use of a variety of methods of occlusion. Vasectomy is highly effective,\textsuperscript{2,41,42} with an estimated pregnancy rate for all methods combined of 0.15 percent with typical use in the first year.\textsuperscript{2} The long-term effectiveness of vasectomy is less well studied than that of tubal sterilization; the long-term effectiveness of vasectomy, like that of tubal sterilization, appears to vary by method\textsuperscript{43} (although data are insufficient to identify any one method as clearly superior). It is recommended that the effectiveness of vasectomy be confirmed by semen analysis at three months or more after the procedure.\textsuperscript{44}

Surgical complications from vasectomy are infrequent and usually minor, and include hematoma formation, wound infection, acute epididymitis, and sperm granulomas (with each complication reported in less than 5 percent of procedures).\textsuperscript{42,45} Serious complications and deaths are rare. Chronic testicular pain is a possible late complication. Numerous observational studies have provided reassurance that vasectomy does not increase the risk of prostate cancer, testicular cancer, atherosclerosis, or overall mortality.\textsuperscript{42,46,47}

**AREAS OF UNCERTAINTY**

It is unclear whether infections of the lower genital tract that occur after insertion of IUDs are more likely to ascend into the upper genital tract than if the devices were not present. Thus, whether IUDs pose an increased risk to women in whom gonococcal or chlamydial cervicitis develops or, like other long-acting contraceptive methods, merely do not confer protection from acquiring these infections is uncertain.\textsuperscript{17}

Several case-control studies have found a reduced risk of endometrial cancer associated with the use of copper and nonmedicated IUDs,\textsuperscript{48,49} but it is unclear whether this association is causal. Suppressive effects of the levonorgestrel intrauterine system on endometrial proliferation\textsuperscript{48} might contribute to a reduction in endometrial cancer risk, but this is uncertain.

It is controversial whether there is a post-vasectomy pain syndrome, variably defined as chronic epididymal, scrotal, or testicular pain after vasectomy.\textsuperscript{50} Surveys of men who have undergone vasectomy have yielded reports of “troublesome” pain in 15 percent,\textsuperscript{51} pain that led to seeking medical care in 5 percent,\textsuperscript{51} and pain that affected quality of life in 2 percent.\textsuperscript{52} These surveys had low response rates, lack comparison groups, and may not be generalizable to the general population. The Health Status of American Men study, a retrospective cohort study in which 10,590 men with vasectomy were matched with neighborhood controls, reported an incidence of epididymitis–orchitis occurring more than 12 months after vasectomy of 24.7 per 10,000 person-years, as compared with 13.6 per 10,000 person-years among men without vasectomy; these rates were significantly different but were not adjusted for potential confounders.\textsuperscript{53} Proposed causes of pain after vasectomy include epididymal congestion, nerve entrapment at the vasectomy site, or sperm granulomas.\textsuperscript{50} Limited data from case series suggest that some men with chronic pain after vasectomy who do not respond to conservative therapy improve after vasectomy reversal\textsuperscript{50} or other surgical management.

**GUIDELINES**

The WHO has developed recommendations for the use of IUDs and progestin implants (Table 1) and for sterilization procedures.\textsuperscript{44,54} The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists has also provided recommendations regarding IUDs and sterilization\textsuperscript{35,55} (Table 2) and progestin-only methods.\textsuperscript{56}

**CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

Women who desire a highly effective, long-acting method of contraception have several options. Most of these women, like the person in the vignette, are appropriate candidates for an IUD or progestin implants. A history of sexually transmitted infection...
null

would not contraindicate the use of an IUD in a woman whose risk for current and future infections is low. However, a woman who currently has a cervical gonococcal or chlamydial infection should not have an IUD inserted. The most troubling side effects of IUDs and progestin implants are menstrual abnormalities, and women should be informed about these effects; for some women, these problems are acceptable trade-offs for highly effective, long-acting contraception. For couples choosing permanent contraception, both tubal sterilization and vasectomy have low surgical risks and are highly effective; vasectomy, however, is safer and, in general, appears to be at least as effective. Only women and men who make a fully informed and well-considered decision to prevent pregnancy permanently are appropriate candidates for sterilization. Whether the woman in this vignette meets these criteria could be determined only after careful counseling. Although women should not be denied tubal sterilization because of young age alone, many such women are well served by using another long-acting method, at least for several years.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
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Table 2. Use of IUDs and Sterilization.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contraceptive Method</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper IUD and levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system</td>
<td>Nulligravid and multiparous women at low risk for sexually transmitted diseases who desire long-term, reversible contraception are good candidates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubal sterilization and vasectomy</td>
<td>Patients considering sterilization should be advised that the procedure is intended to be permanent; that it will not provide protection against sexually transmitted diseases (including human immunodeficiency virus infection); that the morbidity and mortality associated with tubal sterilization (though low) are higher than for vasectomy; that the effectiveness of the two methods is similar; and that the effectiveness of tubal sterilization is higher than that of short-term reversible methods and similar to that of the IUD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Recommendations are from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.35,35
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